

q -perfect crossed modules

José L. Doncel-Juárez

Department of Computer Science, University of La Coruña, 15071 La Coruña, Spain

Alfredo R.-Grandjeán L.-Valcárcel

*Department of Algebra, University of Santiago de Compostela,
15771 Santiago de Compostela (La Coruña), Spain*

Communicated by M.-F. Roy

Received 31 October 1991

Abstract

Doncel-Juárez, J.L. and A. R.-Grandjeán L.-Valcárcel, q -perfect crossed modules, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 81 (1992) 279–292.

We prove in this paper that (T, G) is a q -perfect crossed module if and only if it admits a universal q -central extension by (T, G) . In the case $q = 0$, we obtain the result proved by K.J. Norrie (1987).

1. Introduction

In this paper we introduce the concepts of ‘ q -commutator’ and of ‘ q -center of a crossed module’, q being a nonnegative integer. In Proposition 4, we prove that, if it admits a universal q -central extension by (T, G) , then (T, G) is a q -perfect crossed module.

The converse is obtained in Section 3. We need the tensor product modulo q of two crossed modules defined by Conduché and Rodríguez-Fernández [3]. A series of lemmas where such tensor product appears is needed to get Theorem 12, giving the universal q -central extension of (T, G, ∂) when this crossed module is q -perfect.

From our results we get as corollaries, at the end of the paper, those obtained by Brown [1] and Norrie [6, Theorem 2.75, p. 140].

Correspondence to: Professor J.L. Doncel-Juárez, Department of Computer Science, University of La Coruña, 15071 La Coruña, Spain.

2. q -central extensions and q -perfect crossed modules

We recall some definitions and properties of the crossed module category. Let T and G be groups together with a homomorphism $\partial : T \rightarrow G$. Suppose that G acts on T , so there is a morphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } T$. We write ${}^g t$ to indicate the action of $g \in G$ on $t \in T$. The triple (T, G, ∂) is a *crossed module* if it satisfies:

- (i) $\partial({}^g t) = g \partial(t) g^{-1}$, $g \in G$, $t \in T$,
- (ii) $\partial(t) t' = t t' t^{-1}$, $t, t' \in T$.

We note at once certain consequences of the definition of a crossed module:

- (a) The kernel $\text{Ker } \partial$ lies in $Z(T)$, the center of T .
- (b) The image $\partial(T)$ is a normal subgroup of G .
- (c) The action of G on T induces a natural $(G/\partial(T))$ -module structure on $Z(T)$, and $\text{Ker } \partial$ is a submodule of $Z(T)$.

Let (T, G, ∂) and (T', G', ∂') be crossed modules. A *crossed module morphism*, $(f, \phi) : (T, G) \rightarrow (T', G')$, is a pair of group homomorphisms, $f : T \rightarrow T'$ and $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$, such that

- (i) $\phi \partial = \partial' f$,
- (ii) $f({}^g t) = {}^{\phi(g)} f(t)$, $g \in G$, $t \in T$.

If $(f, \text{id}) : (T, G) \rightarrow (R, G)$ is a crossed module morphism, then (T, R, f) is a crossed module.

A *crossed submodule* (R, K, ∂) of a crossed module (T, G, ∂) is given by the subgroups K of G and R of T , if these inclusions define a crossed module morphism. If K is a normal subgroup and for all elements $g \in G$, $r \in R$, $k \in K$, $t \in T$ we have ${}^g r \in R$ and ${}^k t t^{-1} \in R$, then (R, K, ∂) is a *normal crossed submodule* of (T, G, ∂) . In this case we consider the triple $(T/R, G/K, \bar{\partial})$, where $\bar{\partial} : T/R \rightarrow G/K$ is induced by ∂ , and the new action is given by ${}^{gK}(tR) = ({}^g t)R$. This is the quotient crossed module of (T, G, ∂) by (R, K, ∂) .

Let $(f, \phi) : (T, G, \partial) \rightarrow (T', G', \partial')$ be a crossed module morphism. The kernel of (f, ϕ) , $\text{Ker } (f, \phi)$, is the crossed module $(\text{Ker } f, \text{Ker } \phi, \partial)$ and the image of (f, ϕ) , $\text{Im } (f, \phi)$, is $(\text{Im } f, \text{Im } \phi, \partial')$.

Definition. Let (T, G, ∂) be a crossed module and let q be a nonnegative integer. We define the *q -commutator crossed submodule* of (T, G) to be

$$\partial : D_G^q(T) \rightarrow G \#_q G$$

where $D_G^q(T)$ is the subgroup of T generated by

$$\{ {}^g t t^{-1} r^q \mid g \in G, t, r \in T \},$$

and in a more general setting, if N is a normal subgroup of G , $G \#_q N$ is the q -commutator subgroup of G and N [7], that is, the subgroup generated by

$$\{[g, n]n'^q \mid g \in G, n, n' \in N\}.$$

The q -commutator crossed submodule of (T, G) is a normal crossed submodule.

Examples. (1) If N is a normal subgroup of a group G , then G acts on N by conjugation. The triple (N, G, i) , where i is the inclusion, is a crossed module. The q -commutator crossed submodule of (N, G) is $(G \#_q N, G \#_q G, i)$. Then if G is any group, (G, G, id) is a crossed module and its q -commutator is $(G \#_q G, G \#_q G, \text{id})$.

(2) In the case $q = 0$, we have the commutator crossed submodule defined by Norrie [6].

A crossed module (T, G, ∂) is called *q-perfect* if it coincides with its q -commutator crossed submodule. This is equivalent to say that G is a q -perfect group [7] and $T = D_G^q(T)$. Note that (G, G, id) is a q -perfect crossed module if and only if G is a q -perfect group.

Proposition 1. *Let $(f, \phi) : (T, G) \rightarrow (T', G')$ be a surjective crossed module morphism. If (T, G) is a q -perfect crossed module, then (T', G') is q -perfect.*

Proof. Since $f(D_G^q(T)) \subseteq D_{G'}^q(T')$ and $\phi(G \#_q G) \subseteq G' \#_q G'$ it is a consequence of the surjectivity of f and ϕ . \square

Definition. Let (T, G, ∂) be a crossed module. The q -center of (T, G, ∂) , $Z^q(T, G)$, for q a nonnegative integer, is the crossed module $((T^G)^q, Z^q(G) \cap \text{St}_G(T), \partial)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} (T^G)^q &= \{t \in T \mid t^q = 1 \text{ and } {}^g t = t, \forall g \in G\}, \\ Z^q(G) &= \{g \in Z(G) \mid g^q = 1\}, \\ \text{St}_G(T) &= \{g \in G \mid {}^g t = t, \forall t \in T\}. \end{aligned}$$

The q -center of (T, G, ∂) is a normal crossed submodule called *q-center crossed submodule* of (T, G, ∂) .

Proposition 2. *Let (T, G, ∂) be a crossed module. The q -commutator crossed submodule of (T, G, ∂) , $(D_G^q(T), G \#_q G, \partial)$, is the smallest normal crossed submodule (R, K) of (T, G) such that $(T/R, G/K)$ coincides with its q -center.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. \square

Suppose that (R, K, ∂) is a normal crossed submodule of (T, G, ∂) and that

(S, H, ∂') is a crossed module such that $(T/R, G/K) \cong (S, H)$, then we call (T, G) an *extension* of (R, K) by (S, H) . If there exists a surjective morphism $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) : (X_1, X_2) \rightarrow (T, G)$, then trivially (X_1, X_2) is an extension of the crossed module $\text{Ker } \psi$ by (T, G) .

An extension $((X_1, X_2), \psi)$ by (T, G) is a *q-central extension* if $\text{Ker } \psi = (\text{Ker } \psi_1, \text{Ker } \psi_2)$ is contained in $Z^q(X_1, X_2)$.

A *q-central extension* $((U_1, U_2), \phi)$ by (T, G) is called a *universal q-central extension* if for every *q-central extension* $((X_1, X_2), \psi)$ by (T, G) there exists one and only one morphism $h : (U_1, U_2) \rightarrow (X_1, X_2)$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \phi & \longrightarrow & (U_1, U_2) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (T, G) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \psi & \longrightarrow & (X_1, X_2) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & (T, G) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

By definition, if such a universal *q-central extension* exists then it is unique up to isomorphism over (T, G) .

Lemma 3. *Let $((U_1, U_2), \phi)$ be a universal q-central extension by (T, G) . Then (U_1, U_2) is a q-perfect crossed module.*

Proof. If (U_1, U_2) is not *q-perfect*, there exists a crossed module

$$(Y_1, Y_2, \delta) = \left(\frac{U_1}{D_G^q(U_1)}, \frac{U_2}{U_2 \#_q U_2} \right)$$

such that $Z^q(Y_1, Y_2) = (Y_1, Y_2)$ and a nonzero morphism $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2) : (U_1, U_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, Y_2)$, the canonical morphism.

Let $((X_1, X_2), \psi)$ be the split extension

$$(T, G) \times (Y_1, Y_2) \xrightarrow{\psi} (T, G),$$

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ is the projection morphism. Then $\text{Ker } \psi_1 = Y_1$ is contained in $((T \times Y_1)^{(G \times Y_2)})^q$, and $\text{Ker } \psi_2 = Y_2$ is contained in $Z^q(G \times Y_2) \cap \text{St}_{(G \times Y_2)}(T \times Y_1)$. $((T, G) \times (Y_1, Y_2), \psi)$ is a *q-central extension* by (T, G) .

We define the following group homomorphism $f_1, g_1 : U_1 \rightarrow T \times Y_1$ and $f_2, g_2 : U_2 \rightarrow G \times Y_2$, by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(u_1) &= (\phi_1(u_1), 1), & g_1(u_1) &= (\phi_1(u_1), \nu_1(u_1)), & u_1 &\in U_1, \\ f_2(u_2) &= (\phi_2(u_2), 1), & g_2(u_2) &= (\phi_2(u_2), \nu_2(u_2)), & u_2 &\in U_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $f = (f_1, f_2)$ and $g = (g_1, g_2)$ are crossed module morphisms

from (U_1, U_2) to $(T, G) \times (Y_1, Y_2)$. Moreover, $\psi f = \phi$ and $\psi g = \phi$, but $f \neq g$. Therefore, $((U_1, U_2), \phi)$ is not a universal q -central extension. \square

As a consequence, we have the following result:

Proposition 4. *Let (T, G) be a crossed module. If there exists a universal q -central extension by (T, G) , $((U_1, U_2), \phi)$, then (T, G) is a q -perfect crossed module.*

Proof. From Lemma 3, (U_1, U_2) is q -perfect and from Proposition 1 (T, G) is also q -perfect because ϕ is a surjective crossed module morphism. \square

3. Universal q -central extension by a q -perfect crossed module

In order to prove the converse of Proposition 4, we need to make use of the tensor product mod q of two crossed modules as defined by Conduché and Rodríguez-Fernández [3].

Let (M, G, μ) and (N, G, ν) be two crossed modules, and consider the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times_G N & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & N \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \nu \\ M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & G \end{array}$$

So $M \times_G N = \{(m, n) \mid m \in M, n \in N, \mu(m) = \nu(n)\}$. If we write $\alpha = \mu\pi_1 = \nu\pi_2$, then for $k \in M \times_G N$, $m \in M$, $n \in N$, we have

$$\pi_1(k)m = \alpha(k)m = \pi_2(k)m, \quad \pi_1(k)n = \alpha(k)n = \pi_2(k)n.$$

The tensor product $M \otimes^q N$ is defined as the group generated by the symbols $m \otimes n$ and $\{k\}$, $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $k \in M \times_G N$, with the following relations:

- (1) $m \otimes nn' = (m \otimes n)({}^n m \otimes {}^n n')$,
- (2) $mm' \otimes n = ({}^m m' \otimes {}^m n)(m \otimes n)$,
- (3) $\{k\}(m \otimes n)\{k\}^{-1} = \alpha(k)^q m \otimes \alpha(k)^q n$,
- (4) $[\{k\}, \{k'\}] = \pi_1(k)^q \otimes \pi_2(k')^q$,
- (5) $\{kk'\} = \{k\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\pi_1(k)^{-1} \otimes (\alpha(k)^{1-q+i} \pi_2(k'))^i) \right) \{k'\}$,
- (6) $\{(m^n m^{-1}, {}^m n n^{-1})\} = (m \otimes n)^q$.

Notice that the construction of the tensor product mod q is bifunctorial.

Under these conditions there is an action of G on $M \otimes^q N$ defined as follows:

$${}^g(m \otimes n) = {}^g m \otimes {}^g n, \quad {}^g\{k\} = \{{}^g k\} \quad (\text{i.e. } {}^g\{k\} = \{({}^g \pi_1 k, {}^g \pi_2 k)\}),$$

$m \in M, n \in N, k \in M \times_G N, g \in G$ [3, 1.8].

The group M (resp. N) acts on $M \otimes {}^q N$ through the morphism μ (resp. ν), and we have that if $m \in M, n \in N, k \in M \times_G N$, then

$${}^m\{k\} = (m \otimes \pi_2 k^q)\{k\}, \quad {}^n\{k\} = \{k\}(\pi_1 k^{-q} \otimes n)$$

[3, Lemma 1.15].

We need the following result, similar to Proposition 2.3 of Brown and Loday [2], proved by Conduché Rodríguez-Fernández [3, Lemma 1.3 and Proposition 1.16]:

Proposition 5. *Let (M, G, μ) and (N, G, ν) be two crossed modules.*

(a) *There exist morphisms $\lambda : M \otimes {}^q N \rightarrow M$ and $\lambda' : M \otimes {}^q N \rightarrow N$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(m \otimes n) &= m^n m^{-1}, & \lambda(\{k\}) &= \pi_1 k^q, \\ \lambda'(m \otimes n) &= {}^m n n^{-1}, & \lambda'(\{k\}) &= \pi_2 k^q. \end{aligned}$$

(b) *The triples $(M \otimes {}^q N, M, \lambda)$ and $(M \otimes {}^q N, N, \lambda')$ are crossed modules.*

(c) *If $x \in M \otimes {}^q N, m \in M, n \in N$, then*

$$m \otimes \lambda'(x) = {}^m x x^{-1}, \quad \lambda(x) \otimes n = x^n x^{-1}.$$

(d) *The actions of M on $\text{Ker } \lambda'$ and of N on $\text{Ker } \lambda$ are trivial ones.*

(e) *If $x, x' \in M \otimes {}^q N$, then $\lambda(x) \otimes \lambda'(x') = [x, x']$. \square*

Now let (T, G, ∂) and (G, G, id) be crossed modules. We can consider the tensor product $T \otimes {}^q G$, it was first defined by Brown [1]. In this case $T \times_G G \cong T$, $\pi_1 = \text{id}_T$, $\pi_2 = \partial$. Similarly we consider $G \otimes {}^q G$. Then we have the following crossed modules:

$$\begin{aligned} (T \otimes {}^q G, T, \lambda), & \quad \lambda(t \otimes g) = t^g t^{-1}, \quad \lambda(\{t\}) = t^g, \quad t \in T, \quad g \in G. \\ (T \otimes {}^q G, G, \lambda'), & \quad \lambda'(t \otimes g) = [\partial(t), g], \quad \lambda'(\{t\}) = \partial(t)^g, \\ & \quad t \in T, \quad g \in G. \\ (G \otimes {}^q G, G, \xi), & \quad \xi(g \otimes h) = [g, h], \quad \xi(\{g\}) = g^g, \quad g, h \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. *Let (M, G, μ) and (N, G, ν) be two crossed modules. Given the crossed modules $(M \otimes {}^q G, M, \lambda)$, $(N \otimes {}^q G, N, \lambda')$ as above, we have:*

(a) *If $x \in M \otimes {}^q N$, $\{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))\}^{-1} = \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))\}^{-1}$.*

(b) *If $x, y \in M \otimes {}^q N$, with $xy \in \text{Ker } \lambda$, then $(xy)^q = x^q y^q$ and therefore*

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))^q \\ &= (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^q (\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k))^q, \end{aligned}$$

for all $k, h \in M \times_G N$.

(c) If k and h are elements in $M \times_G N$, then

$$(\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^q = (\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k))^{-q}$$

and therefore

$$((\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))^q = 1.$$

(d) If k and h are elements in $M \times_G N$, then

$$(\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h)^q)^{-1} = (\pi_1(h)^q \otimes \pi_2(k)).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad 1 &= \{1\} = \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))^{-1}\} \\ &= \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\lambda(x)^{-1} \otimes (\lambda'(x)^{1-q+i} \lambda'(x)^{-1})^i) \right) \\ &\quad \times \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))^{-1}\} \\ &= \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\lambda(x)^{-1} \otimes \lambda'(x)^{-i}) \right) \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))^{-1}\} \\ &\quad \text{(by Proposition 5(e))} \\ &= \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))\} \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} [x^{-1}, x^{-i}] \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))^{-1}\} \\ &= \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))\} \{(\lambda(x), \lambda'(x))^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) $xy \in \text{Ker } \lambda$, then by Proposition 5(b), xy is in the center of $M \otimes^q N$; in particular, $xy = yx$. Then

$$(xy)^q = x^q \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} [x^{-1}, (x^{1-q+i} y)^i] y^q = x^q y^q.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c)} \quad 1 &= \{[k, h][h, k]\} \\ &= \{[k, h]\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\pi_1[k, h]^{-1} \otimes (\alpha[k, h]^{1-q+i} \pi_2[h, k])^i) \right) \{[h, k]\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{[k, h]\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\pi_1[k, h]^{-1} \otimes \pi_2[h, k]^i) \right) \{[h, k]\} \\
&= \{[k, h]\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\pi_1[h, k] \otimes \pi_2([h, k]^i)) \right) \{[h, k]\} \\
&= \{[k, h]\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\lambda(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)) \otimes \lambda'(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k))^i) \right) \{[h, k]\} \\
&= \{[k, h]\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} [\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k), (\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k))^i] \right) \{[h, k]\} \\
&= \{[k, h]\} \{[h, k]\} = (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^q (\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k))^q.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(d)} \quad &\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h)^q \\
&= (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^{\alpha(h)} (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^{\alpha(h)^2} (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h)) \\
&\quad \dots \alpha(h)^{q-1} (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h)),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h)^q)^{-1} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^q (\alpha(h)^{q-i} (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^{-1}) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^q (\alpha(h)^{q-i} (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^{-1}) (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h)) (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^{-1} \\
&\quad \text{(by Proposition 5(c))} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^q (\pi_1(h)^{q-i} \otimes [\pi_2(h), \pi_2(k)])(\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^{-1} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^q (\alpha(h)^{q-i} (\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k))^{-1} (\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))^{-1} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^q [((\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))^{-(i-1)} (\alpha(h)^{q-i} (\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))] \\
&\quad \times ((\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))^{i-1}] \\
&\quad \times ((\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))^{-q} \\
&\quad \text{(by Proposition 5(b))} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^q [\lambda((\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))^{-(i-1)} (\alpha(h)^{q-i} (\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))] \\
&\quad \times ((\pi_1(k) \otimes \pi_2(h))(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))^{-q}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \prod_{i=1}^q [(\alpha^{(h)^{q-i}}(\pi_1(h) \otimes \pi_2(k)))] \\
 &= \pi_1(h)^q \otimes \pi_2(k). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

There exists an action of $G \otimes^q G$ on $T \otimes^q G$ via the morphism ξ and the action of G on $T \otimes^q G$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (g \otimes g')(t \otimes h) &= ([g, g']_t \otimes [g, g']_h), & (g \otimes g')(\{t\}) &= \{[g, g']_t\}, \\
 \{g\}(t \otimes h) &= (g^q t \otimes g^q h), & \{g\}(\{t\}) &= \{g^q t\},
 \end{aligned}$$

with $g, g', h \in G, t \in T$.

Lemma 7. *Let (T, G, ∂) be a crossed module. There exists a morphism $\partial \otimes \text{id} : T \otimes^q G \rightarrow G \otimes^q G$ defined by $(\partial \otimes \text{id})(t \otimes g) = (\partial t \otimes g)$ and $(\partial \otimes \text{id})(\{t\}) = \{\partial t\}$, such that, using the action defined above, the triple $(T \otimes^q G, G \otimes^q G, \partial \otimes \text{id})$ is a crossed module.*

Proof. By the functorial character of the product tensor mod q construction there exist the morphism $\partial \otimes \text{id}$.

Moreover, $(\partial \otimes \text{id}, \text{id}) : (T \otimes^q G, G, \lambda') \rightarrow (G \otimes^q G, G, \xi)$ is a crossed module morphism, so that $(T \otimes^q G, G \otimes^q G, \partial \otimes \text{id})$ is a crossed module. \square

Lemma 8. *The pair of morphisms (λ, ξ) , $\lambda : T \otimes^q G \rightarrow T$ and $\xi : G \otimes^q G \rightarrow G$, is a crossed module morphism.*

Proof. The condition $\partial \lambda = \xi(\partial \otimes \text{id})$ is trivial.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lambda^{(x \otimes y)}(t \otimes g) \\
 &= \lambda^{[x, y]}_t \otimes [x, y]_g = [x, y]_t ([x, y]_g)^{-1} \\
 &= [x, y]_t [x, y]_g (g t^{-1}) = [x, y]_t (t^g t^{-1}) = \xi^{(x \otimes y)} \lambda(t \otimes g). \\
 &\lambda^{\{x\}}(t \otimes g) \\
 &= \lambda^{(x^q)}_t \otimes x^q g = x^q t^{(x^q g)} (x^q t)^{-1} = x^q (t^g t^{-1}) = \xi^{\{x\}} \lambda(t \otimes g). \\
 &\lambda^{(x \otimes y)}(\{t\}) = \lambda(\{[x, y]_t\}) = ([x, y]_t)^q = [x, y]_t^q = \xi^{(x \otimes y)} \lambda(\{t\}). \\
 &\lambda^{\{x\}}(\{t\}) = \lambda(\{x^q t\}) = (x^q t)^q = x^q t^q = \xi^{\{x\}} \lambda(\{t\}). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 9. *If (T, G, ∂) is a q -perfect crossed module, then for every element $g \in G$ and every $t \in T$, $g \otimes g = 1$ and $t \otimes \partial t = 1$ hold. Moreover, for all $z \in T \otimes^q G$ (resp. $x \in G \otimes^q G$), $z^q = \{\lambda(z)\}$ (resp. $x^q = \{\xi(x)\}$).*

Proof. Observe that when (T, G) is q -perfect (G, G, id) is also q -perfect, so that each equality concerning $G \otimes^q G$ is a special case of an equality relative to $T \otimes^q G$.

If (T, G) is q -perfect, then $T = D_G^q(T) = \lambda(T \otimes^q G)$, i.e. for every $t \in T$ there exists $z \in T \otimes^q G$ such that $t = \lambda(z)$, then $t \otimes \partial t = \lambda(z) \otimes \partial t = z^{\partial(t)} z^{-1} = z^{\partial \lambda(z)} z^{-1} = 1$.

If z belongs to $T \otimes^q G$, then z is a product of elements of the form $t \otimes g$ or $\{t\}$, $t \in T$, $g \in G$.

If $z = t \otimes g$, then $\{\lambda(z)\} = \{\lambda(t \otimes g)\} = \{t^g t^{-1}\} = (t \otimes g)^q$.

If $z = \{t\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \{\lambda(z)\} &= \{\lambda(\{t\})\} = \{t^q\} \\ &= \{t\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (t^{-1} \otimes (\partial t^{1-q+i} \partial t^{(q-1)^i})) \right) \{t^{q-1}\} \\ &= \{t\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (t^{-1} \otimes \partial t^{(q-1)^i}) \right) \{t^{q-1}\} \\ &= \{t\} \{t^{q-1}\} = \cdots = \{t\}^q. \end{aligned}$$

To end we show that, if this result is true for z and z' , it is also true for zz' .

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\lambda(z)\lambda(z')\} \\ &= \{\lambda(z)\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\lambda(z)^{-1} \otimes (\partial \lambda(z)^{1-q+i} \partial \lambda(z')^i)) \right) \{\lambda(z')\} \\ &= \{\lambda(z)\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\lambda(z)^{-1} \otimes \lambda'(z)^{1-q+i} \lambda'(z')^i \lambda'(z)^{-1+q-i}) \right) \{\lambda(z')\} \\ &= z^q \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} [z^{-1}, z^{1-q+i} z'^i z^{-1+q-i}] z'^q = (zz')^q. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10. If (T, G, ∂) is a q -perfect crossed module, then $((T \otimes^q G, G \otimes^q G), (\lambda, \xi))$ is a q -central extension by (T, G) .

Proof. If (T, G) is q -perfect, the morphism (λ, ξ) is clearly surjective. By Proposition 5, $(G \otimes^q G, G, \xi)$ is a crossed module, so that $\text{Ker } \xi$ is contained in the center of $G \otimes^q G$, $Z(G \otimes^q G)$. By Proposition 9, $\text{Ker } \xi$ consists of elements of order dividing q . Therefore, $\text{Ker } \xi$ is contained in $Z^q(G \otimes^q G)$; since $G \otimes^q G$ acts on $T \otimes^q G$ via the map ξ , $\text{Ker } \xi$ is contained in $\text{St}_{(G \otimes^q G)}(T \otimes^q G)$. Finally $\text{Ker } \lambda$ is contained in $((T \otimes^q G)^{(G \otimes^q G)})^q$, by Proposition 5(c) and Proposition 9. \square

Lemma 11. Let $((X_1, X_2), \psi)$ be a q -central extension by (T, G) and let a, b be elements in X_2 such that if $ab \in \text{Ker } \psi_2 \subseteq Z^q(X_2)$, then $a^q b^q = 1$.

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma 6(b). \square

Theorem 12. *If (T, G, ∂) is a q -perfect crossed module, then*

$$1 \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \lambda, \text{Ker } \xi) \rightarrow (T \otimes {}^q G, G \otimes {}^q G) \xrightarrow{(\lambda, \xi)} (T, G) \rightarrow 1$$

is the universal q -central extension by (T, G) .

Proof. We need to show that, given any q -central extension $((X_1, X_2), \psi)$ by (T, G) , there exists a unique morphism

$$p = (p_1, p_2) : (T \otimes {}^q G, G \otimes {}^q G) \rightarrow (X_1, X_2),$$

making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & (\text{Ker } \lambda, \text{Ker } \xi) & \longrightarrow & (T \otimes {}^q G, G \otimes {}^q G) & \xrightarrow{(\lambda, \xi)} & (T, G) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & (\text{Ker } \psi_1, \text{Ker } \psi_2) & \longrightarrow & (X_1, X_2) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & (T, G) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

Since ψ_1, ψ_2 are both surjectives morphisms, we consider s_1 a section of ψ_1 , and s_2 a section of ψ_2 .

Let $p_2 : G \otimes {}^q G \rightarrow X_2$ and $p_1 : T \otimes {}^q G \rightarrow X_1$ be the maps defined by $p_2(g \otimes h) = [s_2(g), s_2(h)]$, $p_2(\{g\}) = s_2(g)^q$, $p_1(t \otimes g) = s_1(t)^{s_2(g)} s_1(t)^{-1}$, and $p_1(\{t\}) = s_1(t)^q$ ($t \in T, g, h \in G$).

As for proposition 9, $G \otimes {}^q G$ is just a special case of $T \otimes {}^q G$ so it is enough to prove anything for $T \otimes {}^q G$.

Our definition is independent of the choice of section. Suppose that s'_1 and s'_2 are chosen instead. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s'_1(t) &= s_1(t)x_t, \quad x_t \in \text{Ker } \psi_1 \subseteq (X_1^{X_2})^q, \\ s'_2(g) &= s_2(g)y_g, \quad y_g \in \text{Ker } \psi_2 \subseteq Z^q(X_2) \cap \text{St}_{X_2}(X_1), \\ s'_1(t)^{s'_2(g)} s'_1(t)^{-1} &= s_1(t)x_t^{(s_2(g)y_g)} (s_1(t)x_t)^{-1} = s_1(t)x_t^{(s_2(g))} (s_1(t)x_t)^{-1} \\ &= s_1(t)x_t x_t^{-1 s_2(g)} (s_1(t))^{-1} = s_1(t)^{s_2(g)} s_1(t)^{-1}, \\ (s'_1(t))^q &= (s_1(t)x_t)^q = s_1(t)^q x_t^q = s_1(t)^q. \end{aligned}$$

The map p_1 preserves the relations of $T \otimes {}^q G$ and clearly $\lambda = \psi_1 p_1$. We aim to prove that $p = (p_1, p_2)$ is a crossed module morphism,

$(T \otimes {}^q G, G \otimes {}^q G, \partial \otimes \text{id}) \rightarrow (X_1, X_2, \delta)$, and furthermore that p_1 is the unique morphism verifying these conditions.

We check that p verifies the relations of crossed module morphism.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} s_2(gh) &= s_2(g)s_2(h)y, \quad y \in Z^q(X_2) \cap \text{St}_{X_2}(X_1), \\ (s_2\partial)(t) &= (\delta s_1)(t)y', \quad y' \in Z^q(X_2) \cap \text{St}_{X_2}(X_1), \\ s_1({}^g t) &= {}^{s_2(g)}s_1(t)x, \quad x \in (X_1^{X_2})^q; \end{aligned}$$

therefore $p_2(\partial \otimes \text{id}) = \delta p_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} p_1({}^{(g \otimes h)}(t \otimes k)) &= p_1({}^{[g, h]}t \otimes {}^{[g, h]}k) = s_1({}^{[g, h]}t) {}^{s_2([g, h]k)}s_1({}^{[g, h]}t)^{-1} \\ &= {}^{[s_2(g), s_2(h)]}s_1(t) {}^{(s_2(g), s_2(h))s_2(k)}s_1(t)^{-1} \\ &= {}^{[s_2(g), s_2(h)]}(s_1(t) {}^{s_2(k)}s_1(t)^{-1}) = {}^{p_2(g \otimes h)}p_1(t \otimes k), \\ p_1({}^{\{g\}}(t \otimes k)) &= p_1({}^{g^q}t \otimes {}^{g^q}k) = s_1({}^{g^q}t) {}^{(g^q k)}s_1({}^{g^q}t)^{-1} \\ &= {}^{s_2(g^q)}s_1(t) {}^{(s_2(g^q)s_2(k)s_2(g^{-q}))}(s_2(g^q)s_1(t)^{-1}) \\ &= {}^{s_2(g^q)}s_1(t) {}^{(s_2(g^q)s_2(k))}s_1(t)^{-1} = {}^{s_2(g^q)}(s_1(t) {}^{s_2(k)}s_1(t)^{-1}) \\ &= {}^{p_2(\{g\})}p_1(t \otimes k), \\ p_1({}^{(g \otimes h)}\{t\}) &= p_1(\{[g, h]t\}) = s_1([g, h]t)^q \\ &= {}^{s_2([g, h])}s_1(t)^q = {}^{([s_2(g), s_2(h)])}s_1(t)^q \\ &= {}^{p_2(g \otimes h)}p_1(\{t\}), \\ p_1({}^{\{g\}}\{t\}) &= p_1(\{g^q t\}) = s_1(g^q t)^q = {}^{s_2(g^q)}s_1(t)^q \\ &= {}^{s_2(g^q)}s_1(t)^q = {}^{p_2(\{g\})}p_1(\{t\}). \end{aligned}$$

We prove the uniqueness of p_1 . Suppose there exists another homomorphism p'_1 , such that the pair (p'_1, p_2) is a crossed module morphism and $\lambda = \psi_1 p'_1$. Then $p'_1(t \otimes g) = s_1(t {}^g t^{-1})x_{(g, t)}$, and $p'_1(\{t\}) = s_1(t)^q x_{t^q}$, with $x_{(g, t)}$ and $x_{t^q} \in (X_1^{X_2})^q$.

Since (T, G, ∂) is q -perfect, $G = G \#_q G$ and $T = D_C^q(T)$. From the relations contained in definition of the tensor product mod q and from Proposition 9, it follows that $T \otimes {}^q G$ is a group generated by elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^h t t^{-1} \otimes [g, g'], \quad {}^h t t^{-1} \otimes g^q, \quad t^q \otimes [g, g'], \quad t^q \otimes g^q, \quad z^q, \\ & g, g', h \in G, \quad t \in T, \quad z \in T \otimes^q G. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that p_1 and p'_1 are equal it is sufficient to show that both morphisms coincide over those elements. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & p'_1({}^h t t^{-1} \otimes [g, g']) \\ &= p'_1(\lambda(t \otimes h)^{-1} \otimes [g, g']) = p'_1((t \otimes h)^{-1} \{g, g'\} (t \otimes h)) \\ &= p'_1((t \otimes h)^{-1} (g \otimes g') (t \otimes h)) = p'_1((t \otimes h)^{-1})^{p_2(g \otimes g')} p'_1(t \otimes h) \\ &= x_{(t, h)}^{-1} s_1(t^h t^{-1})^{-1} \{s_2(g), s_2(g')\} s_1(t^h t^{-1}) x_{(t, h)} \\ &= s_1({}^h t t^{-1})^{s_2(\{g, g'\})} s_1({}^h t t^{-1})^{-1} = p_1({}^h t t^{-1} \otimes [g, g']). \\ & p'_1({}^h t t^{-1} \otimes g^q) \\ &= p'_1(\lambda(t \otimes h) \otimes g^q) = p'_1((t \otimes h)^{-1} g^q (t \otimes h)) \\ &= p'_1((t \otimes h)^{-1} \{g\} (t \otimes h)) = p'_1((t \otimes h)^{-1})^{p_2(\{g\})} p'_1(t \otimes h) \\ &= s_1(t^h t^{-1})^{-1} s_2(g)^q s_1(t^h t^{-1}) = s_1({}^h t t^{-1})^{s_2(g^q)} s_1({}^h t t^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= p_1({}^h t t^{-1} \otimes g^q). \\ & p'_1(t^q \otimes [g, g']) \\ &= p'_1(\lambda(\{t\}) \otimes [g, g']) = p'_1(\{t\}^{(g \otimes g')} \{t\}^{-1}) \\ &= p'_1(\{t\})^{[s_2(g), s_2(g')]} p'_1(\{t\}^{-1}) = s_1(t)^{q s_2(\{g, g'\})} (s_1(t)^{-q}) \\ &= p_1(t^q \otimes [g, g']). \\ & p'_1(t^q \otimes g^q) \\ &= p'_1(\{t\}^{\{g\}} \{t\}^{-1}) = p'_1(\{t\})^{s_2(g)^q} p'_1(\{t\})^{-1} \\ &= s_1(t)^{q s_2(g)^q} s_1(t)^{-q} = s_1(t)^{q s_2(g^q)} s_1(t)^{-q} \\ &= p_1(t^q \otimes g^q). \end{aligned}$$

Finally let $z \in T \otimes^q G$, so that $\psi_1 p'_1(z) = \lambda(z) = \psi_1 p_1(z)$, therefore $p'_1(z) p_1(z)^{-1} \in \text{Ker } \psi_1$ and $p'_1(z)^q = p_1(z)^q$, by Lemma 11 applied to $\text{Ker } \psi_1$ since $\text{Ker } \psi_1$ is contained in the center of X_2 . Then

$$p'_1(\{t\}) = p'_1(z^q) = p'_1(z)^q = p_1(z)^q = p_1(z^q) = p_1(\{t\}). \quad \square$$

Here we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 13. *A crossed module (T, G, ∂) admits a universal q -central extension if and only if (T, G, ∂) is q -perfect.*

Proof. If $((U_1, U_2), \phi)$ is a universal q -central extension by (T, G) , then (T, G) is q -perfect by Lemma 4. Conversely, if (T, G) is q -perfect, then by Theorem 12, $((T \otimes {}^qG, G \otimes {}^qG), (p_1, p_2))$ is the universal q -central extension by (T, G) . \square

Corollary 14 (Brown [1]). *A group G is q -perfect if and only if it admits a universal q -central extension.*

Proof. It is sufficient to regard the group G as the crossed module (G, G, id) , and to apply Theorem 13. \square

Corollary 15 (Norrie [6, Theorem 2.75, p. 140]). *A crossed module (T, G) admits a universal central extension if and only if (T, G) is perfect.*

Proof. This is directly obtained from Theorem 13 when we consider $q = 0$. \square

Acknowledgment

We thank the referee for several helpful suggestions which have significantly contributed to improve the paper.

References

- [1] R. Brown, q -perfect groups and universal q -central extensions, *Publ. Mat.* 34 (1990) 291–297.
- [2] R. Brown and J.L. Loday, Van Kampen theorems for diagrams of spaces, *Topology* 26 (3) (1987) 331–335.
- [3] D. Conduché and C. Rodríguez-Fernández, Non-abelian tensor and exterior products modulo q and universal q -central relative extension, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 78 (2) (1992) 139–160.
- [4] L. Evens, Terminal p -groups. *Illinois J. Math.* 12 (1968) 682–689.
- [5] M. Ladra González, Módulos Cruzados y Extensiones de Grupos, *Algebra*, Vol. 37 (Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, 1984).
- [6] K.J. Norrie, Crossed modules and analogues of group theorems. Ph.D. Thesis. King's College, University of London, 1987.
- [7] U. Stambach, Homology in Group Theory, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 39 (Springer, Berlin, 1973).